Approved For Release 2002/05/20 CIA-RDP80T00294A000300050025-0 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY	PER# Oc/ A	TOTAL COPIES:	16-	12 REPRO BY	/
FILE OF DOCI 3, DD	OL DOST	051/05	DIFMS	AC D/	ONE
Dlosa, DAP		EUR,			1
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				ر	N /
NNNNVV EIB835LAN73	9		/		11 /
PP RUEATIB	771				`\ /
ZIMR UUUUU ZOC STATE :	ZZH		`		V
PP RUEHC RUESGU RUES	AT RUFSPNO RUF	SGPO RUFS	ED O BUES	SNO BURNO	ነ ፐ
RUEHMO RUESHL	MI NOMENING HOL	LDG! Q NOLD	D & TOED	Side Horne	
DE RUFHOL #5918/1 32	61900		-		74407
ZNR UUUUU ZZH			ť		
P R 211700Z NOV 72					
FM AMEMBASSY BONN	CUDA DOLADITY	0.000			
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WA RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHD		2028			•
I NFO RUESGU/AMEMBAS			z.		
RUESAT/AMEMBASSY ATH					
RUFHBS/AMEMBASSY BRU	SSELS 5579				
RUESPNQ/AMEMBASSY CO					
RUESGPQ/AMEMBASSY TH					•
RUESFD Q/AMEMBASSY L RUDTC/AMEMBASSY LOND				/	
RUFHBG/AMEMBASSY LUX					
RUESSNO/AMEMBASSY OS					
RUFNPS/AMEMBASSY PAR					
RUEHOT/AMEMBASSY OTT					
RUDISDF/AMEMBASSY RE					
RUFHRO/AMEMBASSY ROM					
RUFHNA/USMISSION USN RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOS					
RUFHST/USDEL SALT II					
RUESHL/AMEMBASSY HEL					
RUFHGV/USMISSION GEN					
BT - Hry	of Three				
UNCLAS SECTION 1-OF	3 BONN 15918				
WELGING FOR MOT DEL	OFNEUL DIO				

HELSINKI FOR MPT DEL -- GENEVA DISTO

SUBJ: SALT: NAA SPEECH BY SENATOR JACKSON

SUMMARY

1. GERMAN MEDIA (AND OFFICIALS) HAVE GIVEN WIDE ATTENTION TO SPEECH ENTITLED "CREDIDBLE DETERRENCE IN A SALT II ENVIRON-MENT", WHICH U.S. SENATOR HENRY JACKSON GAVE NOV 21 TO NORTH ATLANTIC ASSEMBLY MILITARY COMMITTEE. JACKSON'S SPEECH WAS CRITICAL

State Dept. declassification & release instructions on file

Approved For Release 2002/05/20 : CFA-RDP80T00294A000300050025-0 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY

PER #

TOTAL COPIES:

REPRO BY

FILE RE.

* 74407 Page 2/12

OF USG FOR "LACK OF STRATEGIC DOCTRINE", OVEREMPHASIS IN SALT-ION NEGOTIABILITY VIZ ESSENTIAL NATIONAL INTERESTS AND ALLEGED US RELIANCE ON POLICY OF "MINIMUM DETERRENCE". JACKSON CALLED ON NATO ALLIES TO "OFFER MORE EXTENSIVE COUNSEL" IN SALT-II TO ASSURE THEIR INTERESTS IN STRATEGIC BALANCE, ADDING THAT THOSE INTERESTS EXTEND BEYOND FBS TYPE ISSUES. TEXT FOLLOWS. END SUMMARY.

2. BEGIN TEXT

BASIC LESSONS OF SALT I

THERE ARE, IN MY VIEW, THREE FUNDAMENTAL LESSONS OF SALT I THAT ARISE OUT OF THE AMERICAN EXPERIENCE IN HELSINKI AND VIENNA. THE ISSUES THEY INVOLVE SHOULD BE HIGH ON THE AGENDA OF NATO CONSULTATION.

3. 1. THE NEED FOR A STRATEGIC DOCTRINE

THE UNITED STATES, IN CONSULTATION WITH ITS ALLIANCE PARTNERS. MUST DEVELOP A COHERENT STRATEGIC DOCTRINE BASED ON A SET OF OBJECTIVES UNDERSTOOD IN THE WEST AND COMMUNICATED EFFECTIVELY TO THE EAST. WE DO NOT NOW HAVE ONE. EVEN WITHOUT CONTINUING ARMS CONTROL DISCUSSIONS WE WOULD NEED, IN THE UNITED STATES AND WITHIN NATO. TO REFORMULATE FUNDAMENTAL NUCLEAR STRATEGIC OBJEC-TIVES TO TAKE REALISTIC ACCOUNT OF THE EXTRAORDINARY GROWTH OF SOVIET STRATEGIC FORCES THAT FIRST SET THE STAGE FOR AND THEN WERE CONFIRMED BY THE MOSCOW ACCORDS OF LAST MAY. BUT PRECISELY BECAUSE WE ARE CONTINUING THE STRATEGIC ARMS NEGOTIATIONS, THE NEED FOR A CAREFUL FORMULATION OF DOCTRINE AND OBJECTIVES REMAINS IMPORTANT. WITHOUT IT WE HAVE NO CAREFUL, RELIABLE MEANS OF MEASURING THE WISDOM OF THE VARIOUS PROPOSALS THAT ARE UNDER CONSIDERATION, WE HAVE NO GUIDELINES BY WHICH TO TAKE ACCOUNT OF THE ATTITUDES OF OUR FRIENDS AND ALLIES AND WE HAVE NO BASIS FOR RESPONDING TO THE VARIOUS POSITIONS TAKEN BY THE SOVIET UNION.

4. WHEN I SAY THAT THE UNITED STATES TODAY LACKS A COHERENT STRATEGIC DOCTRINE, I DQ NOT MEAN THAT AMERICA LACKS IDEAS ABOUT THE NATURE AND PURPOSES OF ITS STRATEGIC FORCES, BUT, RATHER, THAT WE HAVE SEVERAL SUCH NOTIONS, SOME OF WHICH ARE IN CONFLICT WITH OTHERS. FOR EXAMPLE, WE SEEM, ON THE ONE HAND, TO HOLD TO THE VIEW THAT AMERICA'S EUROPEAN ALLIES SHOULD RELY ON THE U.S. STRATEGIC DETERRENT

Approved For Release 2002/05725:11 CIA RDP80T00294A000300050025 Ac. 1.72 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE	SECRETARIAT	DISSEM	BY
-------	-------------	--------	----

PER #

TOTAL COPIES:

REPRO BY

FILE RF.

* 74407 page 3/12

TO PROTECT THEM FROM SOVIET THREATS AND INTIMIDATION. ON THE OTHER HAND, OUR ARMS CONTROL POLICIES -- AND THE EXPLICIT PRONOUNCEMENTS OF MANY AMERICAN SPECIALISTS AND DIPLOMATS -- APPEAR TO BE BASED ON AN EXTREME CONCEPT OF MINIMUM DETERRENCE THAT WOULD LIMIT THE ROLE OF THE U.S. STRATEGIC FORCE TO THE STRIKING OF RUSSIAN CITIES IN RESPONSE TO A DIRECT ATTACK AGAINST THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES. CLEARLY SUCH A DOCTRINE IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE OBJECTIVE OF EXTENDING THE AMERICAN NUCLEAR GUARANTEE TO OUR NATO PARTNERS AND MARKS A DEPARTURE FROM LONGSTANDING ALLIANCE POLICY. WHAT MAKES THIS DOCTRINAL INCONSISTENCY BOTH REAL AND IMPORTANT IS THE GROWING AWARENESS, ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ATLANTIC, THAT THE STRATEGIC BALANCE, WHICH IS INCREASINGLY ADVERSE TO THE UNITED STATES, LEAVES LITTLE ROOM FOR AN ALTERNATIVE AMERICAN STRATEGIC POSTURE.

- 5. MANY AMERICAN SPOKESMEN, AND SOME EUROPEANS, HAVE TRIED TO DERIVE NOT ONLY AN AMERICAN, BUT ALSO A SOVIET STRATEGIC DOCTRINE, BY INTERPRETING WHAT THEY PRESUME TO BE THE "LOGIC" OF THE SALT I ACCORDS. ACCORDING TO THIS VIEW BOTH COUNTRIES, IN SUBSCRIBING THE ABM TREATY, HAVE IN EFFECT "AGREED" TO REMAIN VULNERABLE TO A RETALIATORY ATTACK THEREBY ASSURING THAT EVEN QUITE SMALL DETERRENT FORCES WILL BE ADEQUATE TO DETER. THE LOGIC OF THIS INTERPRETATION ESCAPES ME. FIRST, IT FAILS TO TAKE ACCOUNT OF THE MASSIVE SOVIET AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM. FOR ANOTHER, IT IGNORES THE BASIC PRINCIPLE OF STRATEGIC DE-TERRENCE: THE CERTAIN SURVIVABILITY OF ONE'S RETALIATORY FORCE. THE FACT THAT WE WILL NOT HAVE TO CONTEND WITH SOPHISTICATED ABM SYSTEMS IS NOT IN ITSELF A GUARANTEE THAT ENOUGH OF OUR NUCLEAR FORCE COULD SURVIVE ATTACK TO ASSURE RETALIATION. FINALLY, IT SEEMS TO ME FAR MORE PLAUSIBLE TO EXPLAIN SOVIET INTERESTS IN THE ABM TREATY AS AN EFFORT TO STOP THE UNITED STATES FROM CONTINUING WITH ITS MUCH MORE ADVANCED ABM DEPLOY-MENT AT A MOMENT WHEN COMPARABLE TECHNOLOGY WAS UNAVAILABLE TO THE SOVIET UNION. THE LAST THING I WOULD READ INTO THE ABM TREATY IS THE OTHERWISE UNSUPPORTED NOTION THAT THE SOVIETS HAVE ACCEPTED THE DOCTRINE OF MINIMUM DETERRENCE AS IT IS UNDERSTOOD BY SOME OF OUR OWN ARMS CONTROL SPECIALISTS.
- 6. I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO REFLECT ON THE MINIMUM DETERRENCE DOCTRINE BECAUSE I AM CONCERNED THAT, IN THE VACUUM CREATED BY OUR FAILURE TO IDENTIFY AND PURSUE A COHERENT STRATEGIC DOCTRINE, IT WILL COME TO DOMINATE OUR THOUGHT ABOUT STRATEGY JUST AS IT HAS ALREADY COME TO DOMINATE MUCH OF OUR THOUGHT ABOUT

Approved For Release 2002/05/26d ClA-RDP80T00294A000300050025501.72 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY	PER #	TOTAL COPIES:	REPRO BY	
FILE RF.				
	design announted to the second	X 7440	7 Nage 4/12	

ARMS CONTROL. ACCORDING TO THIS DOCTRINE ALL THAT IS NECESSARY FOR A POLICY OF STABLE DETERRENCE IS TO MAINTAIN A CAPABILITY TO DESTROY SOME FINITE PERCENTAGE OF THE ADVERSARY'S CITIES AND INDUSTRY IN RESPONSE TO A DIRECT NUCLEAR ATTACK. TYPICALLY, THE PROPONENTS OF THIS VIEW CAN BE BROUGHT TO ADMIT THAT A SINGLE POSEIDON BOAT COULD, BY ITSELF, ASSURE THIS CAPABILITY AND THAT IT THEREFORE WOULD CONSTITUTE AN ADEQUATE U.S. DETERRENT FORCE. SOME AMERICAN SCIENTISTS HAVE GONE SO FAR AS TO ARGUE THAT A HANDFUL OF WEAPONS TARGETED ON A HANDFUL OF SOVIET CITIES CONSTITUTES STRATEGIC SUFFICIENCY. TO THOSE OF THIS PERSUASION THE SALT ACCORDS, DESPITE THEIR HAVING CONFERRED A 50 PERCENT ADVANTAGE IN NUMBERS OF ICBM'S AND SLBM'S ON THE SOVIETS, HAVE IN NO WAY DIMINISHED THE DETERRENT CAPABILITY OF THE UNITED STATES. BT

Approved For Release 2002/05/20 FURA-RDP80T00294A000300050025-0 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE	SECRETARIAT	DISSEM	ΒY
-------	-------------	--------	----

PER#

TOTAL COPIES:

REPRO BY

FILE RF.

* 74407 flage 5/12

NNNNVV EIB84 ØLAN7 69

PP RUEAIIB

ZINR UUUUU ZOC STATE ZZH

F HA65 10L A352

PP RUEHC RUESGU RUESAT RUESPNQ RUESGPQ RUESFDQ RUESSNQ RUEHOT

RUEHMO RUESHL

DE RUFHOL #5918/2 3261900

ZNR UUUUU ZZH

PR 211700Z NOV 72

FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2029

RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC

INFO RUESGU/AMEMBASSY ANKARA 798

RUESAT/AMEMBASSY ATHENS 841

RUFHBS/AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS 5580

RUESPNQ/AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN 1256

RUESGPQ/AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE 3565

RUESFDQ/AMEMBASSY LISBON 644

RUDTC/AMEMBASSY LONDON 2702

RUFHBG/AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG 2160

RUESSNQ/AMEMBASSY OSLO 1047

RUFNPS/AMEMBASSY PARIS 3976

RUEHOT/AMEMBASSY OTTAWA 810

RUDISDF/AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK 495

RUFHRO/AMEMBASSY ROME 4759

RUFHNA/USMISSION USNATO 9507

RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW 7368

RUFHST/USDEL SALT II 83

RUESHL/AMEMBASSY HELSINKI 479

RUFHGV/USMISSION GENEVA 2501

ВТ

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 3 BONN 15918

HELSINKI FOR MPT DEL -- GENEVA DISTO

7. NEEDLESS TO SAY, MINIMUM DETERRENCE FOR THE UNITED STATES COULD EASILY BE UNDERSTOOD TO MEAN NO DETERRENCE AT ALL FOR OUR ALLIES: FOR IF ALL THAT THE U.S. STRATEGIC FORCE CAN (OR SHOULD) DO IS EXECUTE A SALVO OF MASS DESTRUCTION FOLLOWING A SOVIET ATTACK ON THE UNITED STATES, ITS POLITICAL AND MILITARY WEIGHT WITH RESPECT TO DISCOURAGING SOVIET INTIMIDATION OF NATO WILL BE SLIGHT INDEED. BUT THAT IS NOT ALL. WHAT IS PERHAPS MOST DISTURBING ABOUT THE MINIMUM DETERRENCE DOCTRINE UNDER THE PRESENT

Approved For Release 2002/05/20: CIA-RDP80T00294A000300050025 PG. 1-72 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY	PER #	TOTAL COPIES:	REPRO BY	
FILE RF.				

* 74407 frags 6 fld

AND EVOLVING STRATEGIC BALANCE IS THAT IT IS NOT CREDIBLE. THE SOVIET UNION MIGHT POSSESS SO MANY STRATEGIC WEAPONS AS TO CONTEMPLATE A COUNTERFORCE FIRST STRIKE WHICH WOULD EMPLOY BUT A FRACTION OF ITS TOTAL STRATEGIC FORCE. THIS COULD WELL LEAVE THE BULK OF ITS STRATEGIC FORCE FREE TO THREATEN THE DESTRUCTION OF AMERICAN CITIES SHOULD THE UNITED STATES ACTUALLY LAUNCH A RETALIATORY STRIKE IN RESPONSE TO THE INITIAL SOVIET ATTACK. SINCE IT IS DIFFICULT TO TAKE SERIOUSLY THE PROSPECT OF AMERICAN RETALIATION UNDER SUCH CONDITIONS THE CREDIBILITY OF THE U.S. DETERRENT CANNOT HELP BUT BECOME INCREASINGLY UNCERTAIN.

8. NOW, MINIMUM DETERRENCE IS THE ORTHODOXY OF THE ARMS CONTROL COMMUNITY THAT PLANNED AND NEGOTIATED THE AMERICAN SIDE OF THE MOSCOW ACCORDS. BUT IT NEED NOT BECOME THE BASIS OF OUR STRATEGIC DOCTRINE AND STILL LESS THE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE OF OUR ALLIANCE PARTNERS. FOR THAT MATTER THERE IS, IN PRINCIPLE, NO REASON WHY MINIMUM DETERRENCE SHOULD PERSIST AS THE CENTRAL DOCTRINE UNDERLYING SALT II.

9. IT IS NOT MY PURPOSE HERE TO DEFINE AN AMERICAN STRATEGIC POSTURE FROM WHICH AN APPROACH TO SALT II MIGHT BE DERIVED, BUT MERELY TO ARGUE THAT DOING SO IS AN ESSENTIAL PREREQUISITE TO INTELLIGENT NEGOTIATION. ON THE WHOLE I RATHER INCLINE TO THE VIEW THAT THE FIRST TASK OF SALT II MUST BE TO DESIGN A POSTURE THAT TAKES ACCOUNT OF THE LARGE SOVIET STRATEGIC RESERVE FORCE AND IMPOSES LIMITS THAT WOULD REDUCE THAT RESERVE. ONE SUCH LIMIT MIGHT INVOLVE A REDUCTION IN TOTAL THROW WEIGHT WHICH WOULD, IN TURN, LIMIT COUNTERFORCE CAPABILITIES. ANOTHER POSSIBILITY MIGHT BE TO ALLOW THE U.S. TO DEPLOY ADDITIONAL SILO-DEFENDING ABM'S TO OFFSET SOVIET THROW WEIGHT ADVANTAGES. WE NEED TO FIND A MEANS OF COPING WITH STRATEGIC SCENARIOS IN WHICH THERE IS EVEN A SLIGHT POSSIBILITY THAT WE MIGHT BE DETERRED FROM RETALIATING.

2. 10. STOP NEGOTIATING WITH OURSELVES

IN SALT I WE SPENT FAR TOO MUCH TIME NEGOTIATING WITH OURSELVES.
THAT IS, WE TENDED TO ASSESS VARIOUS CONTROL PROPOSALS NOT IN
TERMS OF SOME OVERALL STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES BUT, RATHER, IN
TERMS OF WHAT WE BELIEVED WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE TO THE SOVIET
UNION. NEGOTIABILITY BECAME THE CENTRAL CRETERION BY WHICH MUCH
OF WHAT WE THOUGHT AND PROPOSED AND PLANNED WAS EVALUATED. THIS
IS FOOLISHNESS. THE ESSENCE OF NEGOTIATION IS THE RECONCILIATION

Approved For Release 2002/05/20 P. EIA-RDP80T00294A000300050025-0 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

MFG. 1.72

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY

PER #

TOTAL COPIES:

REPRO BY

FILE RF.

* 74407 page 7/12

OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS AND VALUES THROUGH ACCOMMODATION. THIS EQUIRED THAT ONE ENTER NEGOTIATIONS WITH POSITIONS WHICH, WHILE UNACCEPTABLE IN THEIR ENTIRETY, CONTAIN ELEMENTS THAT CAN BE REARRANGED, COMBINED AND MODERATED IN THE SEARCH FOR AN AGREEMENT, AND TO THE EXTENT THAT ACCOMMODATION IS RECIPROCATED. TO DISCARD IN ADVANCE PROPOSITIONS THAT ARE MERITORIOUS BUT BELIEVED TO BE UNACCEPTABLE TO THE OTHER SIDE IS TO ABANDON THE EFFORT TO PERSUADE THE ADVERSARY OF THE WISDOM OF ONE'S POSITION -- TO SAY NOTHING OF ABANDONING THE EFFORT TO INFLUENCE. I AM HOPEFUL THAT ENOUGHT PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE SALT DELIBERATIONS HAVE BECOME AWARE OF THE FOOLISHNESS OF FILTERING OUR OWN POSITIONS BEFORE PRESENTING THEM AND THAT THIS WILL BE REMEDIED IN SALT II.

3. 11. WE NEED TO STAND FIRM

PARTLY BECAUSE OF OUR FAILURE TO DEFINE OUR OBJECTIVES CLEARLY, AND PARTLY BECAUSE OF THE UNSEEMLY HAST THAT OVERCAME OUR EFFORTS TO CONCLUDE THE MOSCOW ACCORDS IN AN ORDERLY FASHION, THE UNITED STATES FAILED TO STAND FIRM IN SUPPORT OF ITS NEGOTIATING POSITION ON A NUMBER OF KEY ISSUES. FOR EXAMPLE, WE DROPPED OUR INSISTENCE ON THE RIGHT TO SUBSTITUTE SEA-BASED FOR LAND-BASED INTERCONTINENTIAL MISSLES AND WE FAILED TO OBTAIN A LOW CEILING ON THE OVERALL NUMBER OF SOVIET LAUNCHERS. BOTH OBJECTIVES HAD BEEN PART OF EARLIER U.S. PROPOSALS. INDEED, THERE IS ASTONISHINGLY LITTLE RESEMBLANCE BETWEEN OUR EARLY PROPOSALS AND THE FINAL AGREEMENTS. WHAT IS MORE, THERE IS LITTLE DOUBT THAT IF THE MAY 26 AGREEMENTS HAD BEEN PROPOSED EARLY IN THE PREPARATION FOR THE TALKS THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN DISMISSED OUT OF HAND BY AMERICAN PLANNERS AS CONCEDING TOO MUCH TO THE SOVIET UNION. THE HISTORY OF THE AMERICAN POSITION IS ONE OF UNIMPEDED DETERIORATION.

12. ONE ISSUE ON WHICH WE DID STAND — AND ABOUT WHICH I WILL HAVE MORE TO SAY IN A MOMENT — IS OUR VIEW OF THE MISSION OF U.S. FORCES IN EUROPE. WE HAVE MAINTAINED THAT THESE FORCES, DEDICATED TO THE DEFENSE OF OUR ALLIES, CANNOT BE CALCULATED IN THE U.S.-SOVIET STRATEGIC BALANCE. WE HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT THE SOVIET INSISTENCE THAT THEY BE SO INCLUDED IS A POLITICAL TACTIC DESIGNED TO SPLIT THE ALLIANCE.

13. I AM CONFIDENT THAT ON THIS ISSUE -- WITH YOUR HELP -- WE CAN CONTINUE TO STAND FIRM THROUGHOUT SALT II AND THAT OUR CLEAR AND UNEQUIVOCAL POSITION ON SO-CALLED FORWARD, BASES WILL REMAIN THE RULE RATHER THAN THE EXCEPTION.

SECKEI

Approved For Release 2002/05/26:11cdAnDP80T00294A000300050025-0-0. 1.72 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY	PER #	1OTAL COPIES:	KEPKO DI	· .
FILE RF.				
		× 741	107 Page 8/12	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		23. 77.	1 - 1 Jany 8 9 1 x	

14. WHEN I SAY THAT WE NEED TO STAND FIRMIN SUPPORT OF OUR NEGOTIATING POSITIONS IN SALT II, I DO NOT MEAN THAT WE OUGHT TO BE RIGID AND UNYIELDING ON EVERY DETAIL. THERE MUST, OF NECESSITY, BE COMPROMISE AND ACCOMMODATION ON BOTH SIDES. WHAT I DO MEAN TO URGE IS THAT WE DEFINE OUR OBJECTIVES AND DESIGN ARMS CONTROL POLICIES THAT IMPLEMENT THEM AND PRESENT PROPOSALS BASED ON THEM AS FORCEFULLY AS POSSIBLE. ON THOSE MATTERS THAT ARE NOT ESSENTIAL FOR OUR SECURITY THERE CAN BE ADJUSTMENT AND ACCOMMODATION. ON ESSENTIALS WE MUST STAND FIRM. THE SOVIETS WILL RESPECT US FOR IT AND THE RESULTING AGREEMENTS WILL BE BETTER AND SAFER FOR IT.

SALT AND THE NATO ALLIANCE

15. FOR AS LONG AS THERE HAVE BEEN PARLAMENTARIAN MEETING SUCH AS THESE THERE HAVE BEEN EXPRESSIONS OF THE NEED FOR IMPROVED CONSULTATION WITHIN THE ALLIANCE. THIS MEETING WILL ALMOST CERTAINLY FOLLOW THE PATTERN OR OUR PREVIOUS MEETINGS IN THIS REGARD. AMONG ALLIES THERE CAN NEVER BE TOO MUCH CONSULTATION. GENERALLY THE THRUST OF THE CALL FOR GREATER CONSULTATION HAS CARRIED WITH IT THE SUGGESTION THAT THE UNITED STATES WOULD DO WELL TO LISTEN MORE AND TO PONDER WITH GREATER ATTENTIVENESS THE VIEWS OF OUR EUROPEAN ALLIES. I HAVE OFTEN SHARED THIS VIEW.

16. THUS I WAS TROUBLED AND DISAPPOINTED TO OBSERVE HOW LITTLE SOLID ADVICE ON STRATEGIC ARMS LIMITATION MATTERS ORIGINATED WITH OUR EUROPEAN FRIENDS DURING PHASE I OF SALT. I AM SORRY TO SAY THAT IN MY VIEW THERE WAS LITTLE SAID, AND LESS TO BE LEARNED, AS A RESULT OF THE EUROPEAN CONTRIBUTION TO SALT I.

17. WHAT IS PERHAPS MOST CURIOUS ABOUT THE SEEMING INATTENTION ON PART OF OUR EUROPEAN FRIENDS TO THE COMPLEX ISSUES SURROUND-ING SALT IS HOW DISPROPORTIONATE THIS IS TO THE STAKE THE ALLIANCE HAS IN THE OUTCOME OF THESE NEGOTIATIONS. IT IS NOT ENOUGHT FOR OUR FRIENDS IN EUROPE TO LIMIT THEIR CONCERN TO THAT PART OF THE SALT DISCUSSIONS WHICH INVOLVES U.S. AND ALLIED FORCES IN FORWARD AREAS, ALTHOUGH THAT IS CLEARLY A HIGH PRIORITY ISSUE FOR THE COUNTRIES WHICH HOST THE BASES AND ARE PROTECTED BY THEM. THE NATURE OF THE INTERCONTINENTAL STRATEGIC BALANCE, THE PACE OF DEVELOPMENTS IN WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY, THE RELATIVE THROW WEIGHT OF THE TWO STRATEGIC FORCES, THE SURVIVABILITY OF THE

Approved For Release 2002/05/20 : CIA-RDP80T00294A000300050025-0 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY	PER #	TOTAL COPIES:	REPRO BY	
FILE RF.				
		* 744	107 page 9/12	
U.S. DETERRENT A	LI TUESE IS			
POINT OF VIEW, IT	IS THE EURO	PEAN-BASED DEPLOYM	MENT THAT IS OF	
LEAST SIGNIFICANCE STATES AND THE SOV		VING THE BALANCE BE	ETWEEN THE UNITED	
BT #5918				

SECKE!

Approved For Release 2002/05/20 : CIA-RDP80T00294A000300050025-0. 1-72 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY	PER #	TOTAL COPIES:	REPRO BY	
FILE RF.				
			The second secon	
		* 7	14407 Raggios	12

NNNNVV EIC752LAN773 PP RUEAIIB ZNR UUUUU ZOC STATE ZZH FHB 7350LA353 PP RUEHC RUESGU RUESAT RUESPNQ RUESGPQ RUESFOQ RUESSNQ RUEHOT RUEHMO RUESHL DE RUFHOL #5918/3 3261900 ZNR UUUUU ZZH P R 211700Z NOV 72 FM AMEMBASSY BONN TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 2030 RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC INFO RUESGU/AMEMBASSY ANKARA 799 RUESAT/AMEMBASSY ATHENS 842 RUFHBS/AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS 5581 RUESPNQ/AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN 1257 RUESGPQ/AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE 3566 RUESFDQ/AMEMBASSY LISBON 645 RUDIC/AMEMBASSY LONDON 2703 RUFHBG/AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG 2161 RUESSNQ/AMEMBASSY OSLO 1048 RUFNPS/AMEMBASSY PARIS 3977 RUEHOT/AMEMBASSY OTTAWA 811 RUDISDF/AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK 496 RUFHRO/AMEMBASSY ROME 4760 RUFHNA/USMISSION USNATO 9508 RUEHMO/AMEMBASSY MOSCOW 7369 RUFHST/USDEL SALT II 84 RUESHL/AMEMBASSY HELSINKI 480 RUFHGV/USMISSION GENEVA 2502 BTUNCLAS SECTION 3 OF 3 BONN 15918

HELSINKI FOR MPT DEL -- GENEVA DISTO

18. I HOPE THAT IN THIS SECOND PHASE OF SALT OUR EUROPEAN ALLIES WILL FIND THE TIME AND INTEREST TO BRING THEIR GREAT TALENTS AND IMPORTANT PERSPECTIVE TO BEAR ON THE CENTRAL ISSUES OF THE EAST-WEST STRATEGIC BALANCE. THE UNITED STATES WOULD HAVE BENEFITTED GREATLY FROM THE WISE COUNSEL OF ITS NATO PARTNERS IN SALT I, NOT ONLY IN PROTECTING INTERESTS COMMONLY IDENTIFIED WITH NATO. BUT IN BROADENING OUR PERSPECTIVE ON SOME MORE GENERAL

Approved For Release 2002/05/20 FCR-RDP80T00294A000300050025-0 MFG. 1-72

	CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY	PER #	TOTAL COPIES:	REPRO BY	
	FILE RF.				
				The second secon	
1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>& 74</i>	407 Sugs 117	112

MATTERS AS WELL. I SUSPECT THAT THE TENDENCY OF ARMS CONTROL PLANNING TO SERVE MINIMUM DETERRENCE PERSPECTIVES WOULD HAVE BEEN QUESTIONED EARLIER AND WITH MORE INSISTENCE BY SOME ALLIED GOVERNMENTS WHO OUGHT TO APPRECIATE THE IMPLICATIONS FOR THEM OF SUCH A DOCTRINE AS THE BASIS OF AMERICAN NATIONAL SECURITY POLICY. MOREOVER, THERE IS A CRUCIAL ISSUE ON WHICH THE VIEW OF AMERICA'S NATO PARTNERS IS VITAL AND THAT IS NUCLEAR PROLIFERATION. I CAN WELL IMAGINE HOW VARIOUS AMERICAN SALT POSIURES MIGHT AFFECT THE GROWTH OF NATIONAL NUCLEAR FORCES, BUT IT IS FAR BETTER TO APPROACH SUCH QUESTIONS ON A MULTILATERAL BASIS RATHER THAN BY RESORTING TO GUESS WORK AND THEORETICAL MODELS.

19. WHAT I AM SAYING IS THAT SOME OF OUR MISTAKES IN SALT I MIGHT HAVE BEEN AVOIDED IF THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ALLIANCE HAD REGARDED THE NEGOTIATIONS WITH SUFFICIENT INTEREST AND HAD OFFERED MORE EXTENSIVE COUNSEL. BRIEFINGS MAY CONVEY THE ILLUSION OF CONSULTATION, BUT NOT THE SUBSTANCE. IN SALT II THE COUNSEL OF THE ALLIANCE AS AN INSTITUTION WILL BE OF GREAT IMPORTANCE AND THERE ARE MANY OF US WHO WILL VIEW WITH GREAT INTEREST THE CONTRIBUTIONS WHICH INCREASED EUROPEAN ATTENTION AND STUDY WILL YIELD.

SALT AND THE U.S. CONGRESS

20. I HOPE THAT I WILL BE FORGIVEN FOR SAYING THAT, IN MY VIEW, ONE OF THE MOST HELPFUL DEVELOPMENTS WITH RESPECT TO SALT II WAS THE OVERWHELMING SUPPORT GIVEN BY THE CONGRESS TO MY AMENDMENT TO THE RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INTERIM AGREEMENT. OF PARTICULAR INTEREST HERE IS THE FACT THAT THE CONGRESS SPECIFICALLY SUSTAINED THE VIEW THAT THE TREATY TO BE NEGOTIATED ON OFFENSIVE ARMS IN SALT II SHOULD BE BASED ON A NUMERICAL BALANCE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE SOVIET UNION IN INTERCONTINENTAL STRATEGIC SYSTEMS, EXLCUSIVE OF ANY U.S. FORCES IN EUROPE.

21. AS SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES MAY KNOW, WE HAD A LENGTHY DEBATE IN THE SENATE OVER THE EQUALITY PRINCIPLE AS OUTLINED IN MY AMENDMENT. EVERY EFFORT TO INCLUDE OUR EUROPEAN FORCE IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE BALANCE BETWEEN THE SOVIET UNION AND THE UNITED STATES WAS VOTED DOWN, AND BY A SUBSTANTIAL MARGIN. THE SUPPORT OF THE WHITE HOUSE WAS INVALUABLE IN ACCOMPLISHING THE CLEAR DECLARATION IN MY AMENDMENT WHICH CALLED ON THE PRESIDENT TO ASSURE THAT IN ANY FUTURE TREATY THEY UNITED STATES WOULD NOT BE LIMITED TO LEVELS OF INTERCONTINENTAL STRATEGIC FORCES IN-

DECKE!

Approved For Release 2002/05/20 : CIA-RDP80T00294A000300050025, Pg. 1.72 DEPARTMENT OF STATE TELEGRAM

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEM BY	PER #	TOTAL COPIES:	REPRO BY	
FILE RF.				
		× フタ	1407 Rage 127	/12

FERIOR TO THE LEVELS GRANTED TO THE SOVIET UNION. THESE SENATE GUIDELINES WERE LATER CONFIRMED BY A VOTE OF 307~4 IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENATTIVES AND SIGNED INTO LAW BY THE PRESIDENT.

22. TO ME, THIS IS A HOPEFUL SIGN THAT THE LESSONS OF SALT I ARE ALREADY BEING LEARNED. WE ARE NOW PREPARED TO BE TOUGHER, MORE DETERMINED, AND MORE ATTENTIVE.

23. THERE IS ONE ADDITIONAL POINT THAT SHOULD BE MADE. THE CONGRESS CALL FOR EQUALITY IN SALT II — AN EQUALITY BASED ON NUMBERS AND THROW WEIGHT OF INTERCONTINENTAL SYSTEMS — IS BEST UNDERSTOOD AS A PRESCRIPTION FOR SCALING DOWN THE LEVEL OF ARMAMENT ON BOTH SIDES. WE SHOULD ENTER SALT II SEEKING EQUALITY WITH PROPOSALS TO REDUCE STRATEGIC FORCES, PARTICULARLY DESTABILIZING SYSTEMS SUCH AS THE SS-9 AND ITS EVEN LARGER SUCCESSOR.

24. GUARANTEEING THE WEST'S SECURITY REQUIRES IMAGINATION, INGENUITY, AND INTELLIGENCE. NO COUNTRY HAS A MONOPOLY ON THESE VIRTUES. THAT IS WHY THE RESOURCES OF THE ENTIRE ALLIANCE MUST BE MARSHALED. THE UNITED STATES STANDS READY TO CONSULT, TO PLAN JOINTLY, AND TO COLLABORATE ON THE CRUCIAL MATTERS WHICH AFFECT THE STRENGTH AND SECURITY OF THE ALLIANCE AND, THERE—FORE, THE PEACE OF THE WORLD.

END TEXT . HILLENBRAND BT #5918